

## **Territorial Sea Plan Feedback - Questions and Responses**

November 2012

Question:		Response:					
		1	2	3	4	5	Total#
1. On a scale of 1-5 (1=least important and 5=most important), how important is the maintenance of "viewsheds" (scenic vistas associated with coastal views)?		0.0%	2.2%			76.1%	1 1 1 1
	Response count:	0	1	4	6	35	46
2. TSPAC is considering a recommendation areas for renewable ocean energy develop of 1-5 (1=strongly oppose and 5=strongly syou score each area?	ment. On a scale						
A) Camp Rilea/Clatsop County		15.9%	9.1%	18.2%	9.1%	47.7%	I I
	Response count:	7		8			44
B) NNMREC Newport/Lincoln County		15.9%	9.1%	20.5%	18.2%	36.4%	(
	Response count:	7			8		•
C) OPT Reedsport/Douglas County		11.4%	6.8%	18.2%	. – – – – -	. – – – – -	i I
	Response count:	5	3	8	4	24	44
estrongly support), how would you score each proposed rea?  A) Nestucca-Pacific City/Tillamook County		76.1%	10.9%	6.5% <sup>1</sup>	6.5%	ı • 0.0%	I I
	Response count:	35	I		3	I	i ' 46
B) North Newport/Lincoln Count		`		31.6%	. – – – – -	. – – – – .	, <u>` _</u> . !
	Response count:			12			38
C) Waldport/Lincoln County				38.5%		. – – – – -	. – – – - ·
	Response count:	_		15		2	39
D) Reedsport/Douglas County				28.9%			i
	Response count:	6	5	11	6	10	38
E) Lakeside/Coos County		_		35.1%		-	_
	Response count:	7	3	13	4	10	37
F) Langlois/Coos County	<b></b>	16.7%	16.7%	30.6%	8.3%	27.8%	. – – - ! !
	Response count:	6	6	11	3	10	36
G) Gold Beach/Curry County			,	27.0%			
	Response count:	11 '	6 '	10	3	' 7	<u>.</u> 37

## Territorial Sea Plan Feedback - Questions and Responses

## November 2012

4. On a scale of 1-5 (1=strongly oppose and 5=strongly support), should the sites for renewable ocean energy development be spread out geographically, such as a minimum of one site per County?  Response count: 27   6   9   2   2   46  6. On a scale of 1-5 (1=strongly disagree and 5=strongly agree), how would you score the following statement: As part of the State policy to "encourage the research and responsible development" of renewable ocean energy, the focus of siting	Question:	Response:					
support), should the sites for renewable ocean energy development be spread out geographically, such as a minimum of one site per County?  Response count: 27 6 9 2 2 46  6. On a scale of 1-5 (1=strongly disagree and 5=strongly agree), how would you score the following statement: As part of the State policy to "encourage the research and responsible"  10.6% 2.1% 6.4% 12.8% 68.1%		1	2	3	4	5	Total#
6. On a scale of 1-5 (1=strongly disagree and 5=strongly agree), how would you score the following statement: As part of the State policy to "encourage the research and responsible 10.6% 2.1% 6.4% 12.8% 68.1%	support), should the sites for renewable ocean energy development be spread out geographically, such as a	58.7%	13.0%	19.6%	4.3%	4.3%	
agree), how would you score the following statement: As part of the State policy to "encourage the research and responsible 10.6% 2.1% 6.4% 12.8% 68.1%	Response count:	27	6	9	2	2	46
areas should be on research/testing before any development.	agree), how would you score the following statement: As part of the State policy to "encourage the research and responsible development" of renewable ocean energy, the focus of siting areas should be on research/testing before any development.		2.1%			 	
Response count: 5 1 3 6 32 47	Response count:	5	1	3	6	32	47
\$21- \$0 \$1-5 \$6-10 \$11-20 100		<b>\$</b> 0	<b>\$1-5</b>	\$6-10	\$11-20	•	
5. While most will agree that a new source of clean, renewable energy is a good thing, how much more would you be willing to pay for each electric bill knowing it came from renewable ocean energy sources?  51.1% 22.2% 11.1% 13.3% 2.2% ocean energy sources?	energy is a good thing, how much more would you be willing to pay for each electric bill knowing it came from renewable		22.2%	11.1%	13.3%	2.2%	
Response count: 23   10   5   6   1   45	<del>=-</del>	23	10	5	6	¦ 1	45