Scientific and Technical Advisory Committee

Ocean Policy Advisory Council

Meeting and Marine Reserves Work-Session

September 20, 2007

Willamette Room, Valley Library — Oregon State University — Corvallis, Oregon Committee Meeting: 8:30 am—9:15 am

Marine Reserves Work-Session: 9:30 am—5:00 pm

COMMITTEE MEETING

8:30 am Welcome and review of agenda Rasmussen 8:35 am Committee Meeting: update and discussion on process, Rasmussen, roles and expectations, and timeline. Heppell Discussion topics: General guidance on Committee roles and expectations • What level of evaluation is appropriate by STAC for the site nominations (pass/fail, rating system, ranking)? Involvement in the development of a coastwide plan? How? 9:15 Break MARINE RESERVES WORK-SESSION 9:30 Welcome Guests to the Committee Work-Session Rasmussen, Review goals and principles of marine reserves, current Heppell effort in Oregon, MRWG goals and objectives document Opportunity for short presentations and perspectives Discussion topics: • Are the MRWG goals and objectives reasonable and realistic? How does this process compare with California's? What critical lessons from past efforts should be emphasized by STAC in their evaluation? 11:00 Tools for site selection and evaluation Barth, Goldfinger Discussion topics: What mapping or evaluation tools are available, and at what level of resolution or data quality? Can a mapping tool be available to the general public for nominations? Should we utilize or integrate existing ecological or

socio-economic analyses (e.g., The Nature

Conservancy coastwide plan)?

12:00 pm Lunch (catered for STAC and invited guests)

1:00	 Public nomination plan – review timeline and nomination guidelines, STAC review (with invited guests) Discussion topics: Will "best sites" be nominated through this public nomination process? Does the form need to be simplified? Can we advise on public outreach and education, assistance with nomination preparation, to encourage broad participation? Is the timeline for evaluation realistic? What sort of site evaluation procedure seems most efficient and objective? Socio-economic evaluation – who, what, when, where and how? How should nominations be merged into a coastwide plan? 	Heppell
3:00	Break	
3:20	 Committee Tasks (guests are encouraged to stay for discussion if their schedules allow) Develop list of rating or evaluation questions Determine what (if any) role we want to play in developing the alternative coastwide plan Provide suggestions for improvements to documents, especially focus on clarity and organization. Identify funding and support needs 	Rasmussen
4:30	Summary and MRWG report-back	
5:00	Adjourn	

For a map, which allows you to obtain driving directions, please visit: http://tinyurl.com/34dx28