

[OPAC Territorial Sea Plan Working Group](#)  
**Rocky Habitat Management Strategy Amendment Process**  
**Draft Meeting Summary**  
**Thursday, December 10, 2020, 8:00 – 11:00AM**

**Participants:** Andy Lanier, Charlie Plybon, Andrea Celentano, Laurel Hillmann, Walter Chuck, Dave Fox, Dick Vander Schaaf, Kerry Carlin-Morgan, Tom Calvanese, Shawn Stephenson, Scott McMullen, Michael Moses

**Members of the public:** Rebecca Chuck, Christine Moffitt, Mark Healy, Joe Liebezeit, Kassie Rippee, Helena Linnell, Tara Brock, Patty Snow, Todd Martin

**Tribal Nations Comment:** none

**Public Comment:** none

**Meeting Overview**

In this meeting of the Territorial Sea Plan Working Group on the Rocky Habitat Management Strategy update, the group discussed proposal evaluation timeline and planning, clarified evaluation procedures, and discussed the scope and approach of the review and evaluation steps outlined in the draft guidance materials.

The Working Group came to consensus on the timeline outlined previously in this document, which allowed for more time for the Agency Analysis in January, 2021, more time for the Working Group evaluations in February, and moving the 30-day public comment period to March. The Working Group then came to consensus that the Working Group evaluation procedure would begin with individual reviews of the proposals, after which the group would convene to decide their initial proposal recommendations ahead of the public comment period, and that they would utilize the functionality of the SeaSketch tool to document this process. Finally, the group reviewed the revised structure of the draft guidance materials. This includes continuing to develop and refine the Agency Analysis with the agency members, and additional refinements to the Working Group Evaluation questions, including a decision to utilize the proposed evaluation criteria matrix as a qualitative measure only.

**Tribal Nations Comment:** none

**Public Comment:**

Joe Liebezeit (Portland Audubon Society):

- Expressed appreciation on behalf of the public for the group's responsiveness and cooperation. Public agrees Plan B makes sense and removing the matrix scoring.