

OPAC TERRITORIAL SEA PLAN WORKING GROUP

April 29, 2021, 8:00 AM – 5:00 PM & April 30, 2021, 8:00 AM – 12:00 PM Pacific Time

REMOTE MEETING ONLY – See below for remote connection details.

MEETING GOAL(S)

The Rocky Habitat Working Group will be meeting to discuss the rocky habitat proposals and make their final recommendations for the Ocean Policy Advisory Council (OPAC). Those who submitted rocky habitat proposals have been invited to present their proposals in front of the Working Group, and answer any questions. At this time, the full schedule of presentations is TBD, and will be shared at a later date.

AGENDA

All times are general estimates and subject to change based on Working Group progress and discussion. Due to the nature of discussion content, discussion topics may be covered sooner than is listed in the draft agenda. The Working Group has also reserved Friday, April 30th from 8:00 AM – 12:00 PM as an overflow meeting time, in the event that additional meeting time is needed.

- 8:00 am** **Welcome and Introductions**
- 8:05 am** **Public Comment**
- 8:20 am** **Tribal Nations Comment**
- 8:35 am** **Meeting Goals & Process Overview**
- 9:00 am** [Otter Rock N MCA, Seal Rock MCA, Coastwide Kelp Forests MRA](#)
- 9:30 am** [Coquille Point MG/EA Presentation](#)
- 10:15 am** [Cape Blanco MRA Presentation](#)
- 11:00 am** [Ecola Point MCA & Chapman Point MCA Presentation](#)
- 12:00 pm** **Lunch (other breaks as needed)**
- 1:00 pm** [Fogarty Creek MCA Presentation](#)
- 2:00 pm** [Blacklock Point MCA & Crook Point-Mack Reef MCA Presentation](#)
- 3:00 pm** [Cape Lookout MCA, Cape Foulweather MCA](#) Presentations (in sequence)
- 4:30 pm** **Public Comment**
- 4:45 pm** **Tribal Nations Comment**
- 5:00 pm** **Adjourn**

MEETING LOGISTICS

Date & Time: April 29, 2021, 8:00 AM – 5:00 PM & April 30, 2021, 8:00 AM – 12:00 PM Pacific Time

Location: Meeting was conducted via web meeting.

Meeting Recordings: (click proposal names above for direct links to presentation video timestamps)

April 29: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gMgPUkevjO8>

April 30: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=75TAaSjH86U>

Meeting Materials: <https://www.oregonocean.info/index.php/opac-documents/workinggroups/tspwg-p3/2021-april-29>

Participants:

Working Group Members – Andy Lanier, Kerry Morgan, Tom Calvanese, Laurel Hillmann, Walter Chuck, Shawn Stephenson, Dave Fox, Dave Lacey, Dick Vander Schaaf, Charlie Plybon, Andrea Celentano

Other Panelists – Michael Moses, Patty Snow, Frank Burris

Public Participants (Apr 29) – Larry Basch, Joe Liebezeit, Jason Miner, Susan Chambers, David Yamamoto, Joseph Youren, Caren Braby, Dennis White, Jamie Fereday, Elizabeth Ruther, Shelby Walker, Bri Goodwin, Dawn Villaescusa, Kent Doughty, Steve Griffiths, Tara Brock, Jim Carlson, Nora Sherwood, Bob Main, Cherie Eichbaum, Beverly Minn, Mary Garrett, Margaret Treadwell, Laurel Field

Public Participants (Apr 30) – Steve Griffiths, Jim Carlson, Joe Liebezeit, Liz Ruther, Tara Brock

SUMMARY

This meeting was led by a meeting facilitator contracted by DLCD through Oregon Sea Grant. The goal of this meeting was for proposers to present their presentations to the Rocky Habitat Working Group, and to craft final site recommendations for the Ocean Policy Advisory Council. The meeting opened with an overview of the meeting presentation process and a discussion of ground rules. DLCD staff then reviewed [the consensus and voting procedures](#) to be used for making final proposal recommendations. The April 26 meeting summary may be found [here](#). See bottom of this document for a summary table of final recommendation results.

For rocky habitat proposal recommendations, the Working Group first reviewed the three proposals that did not meet the requirements for proper evaluation during the Agency Feasibility and Completeness Analysis ([Otter Rock North MCA](#), [Seal Rock MCA](#), and the [Coastwide Kelp Forests MRA](#)). For these three proposals, after brief discussion, the Working Group achieved consensus for a final decision of ***Not Recommended (consensus)***.

For the remaining proposal recommendations, proposers each presented for approximately 10-15 minutes, followed by 10-15 minutes of Q&A with the Working Group, followed by 10-15 minutes of Working Group deliberation which culminated in consensus and or voting. Presentations proceeded in the order listed above.

Coquille Point Marine Garden: The presenter indicated they are amenable to the considerations outlined in the initial recommendation summary. Following discussion, the Working Group was able to establish consensus in support of a recommendation to OPAC. Coquille Point MG final recommendation: ***Recommended (consensus)***

Cape Blanco Marine Research Area: The presenter indicated they are amenable to the considerations outlined in the initial recommendation summary. Following discussion, the Working Group was able to establish consensus in support of a recommendation to OPAC. Cape Blanco MRA final recommendation: ***Recommended (consensus)***

Meeting process was ahead of schedule in the morning, and the Working Group began their discussion on statewide recommendation ideas from 10:00 – 11:00 AM to keep the remaining presentations at their scheduled times. In the interest of time (and in recognizing the meeting went past the allotted time schedule), the Working Group decided to delay the continuation of the statewide recommendations discussion until the overflow meeting scheduled for the following day (summarized below).

Ecola Point and Chapman Point Marine Conservation Areas: The presenter indicated a preference to maintain restrictions on recreational boats, walking on intertidal rocks, and enforcing the off-leash provision. The Working Group could not establish consensus on a recommendation for Ecola Point MCA, and went to a vote which resulted in 3 yes, 9 no votes. Continuing consultation was considered, and consensus established to

remand the proposal to continuing consultation. Ecola Point MCA final recommendation: ***Not Recommended, Continuing Consultation (consensus)***

Consensus was also not established for Chapman Point MCA, and went to a vote which resulted in 2 yes, 10 no votes. Consensus was not established for continuing consultation, and a vote was taken which resulted in 9 yes, 3 no votes. Chapman Point MCA final recommendation: ***Not Recommended, Continuing Consultation (9:3)***

Fogarty Creek Marine Conservation Area: The Working Group expressed concerns regarding equity of access to harvest and insufficient stakeholder engagement. The presenter indicated they are amenable to boundary changes. The Working Group established consensus to not recommend the site, but could not establish consensus on continuing consultation and went to a vote, which resulted in 9 yes, 3 no votes. Fogarty Creek MCA final recommendation: ***Not Recommended, Continuing Consultation (9:3)***

Blacklock Point and Crook Point-Mack Reef Marine Conservation Areas: The Working Group expressed concerns regarding lack of regulatory management mechanisms, challenges for volunteer programs, sensitivity of upland habitats, and safety and challenges to site access for both proposals. The presenter indicated they are amenable to limiting the landward extent to the mean high water line. The Working Group established consensus to not recommend Blacklock Point MCA, but could not establish consensus for continuing consultation and went to a vote, which resulted in 8 yes, 4 no votes. Blacklock Point MCA final recommendation: ***Not Recommended, Continuing Consultation (8:4)***

Consensus was established to not recommend Crook Point-Mack Reef MCA, but could not establish consensus for continuing consultation and went to vote, which resulted in 4 yes, 8 no votes. Crook Point-Mack Reef MCA final recommendation: ***Not Recommended (consensus)***

Cape Lookout Marine Conservation Area: The presenter requested withdrawal of their recommendations R8 and R10, because they are not site-specific, indicated they are amenable adjusting the north side boundary with agencies, and clarified concerns regarding the shoreward boundary. The Working Group could not establish consensus to recommend the site and went to a vote, which resulted in 5 yes, 7 no votes. The Working group could not establish consensus on continuing consultation and went to a vote, which resulted in 10 yes, 2 no votes. Cape Lookout MCA final recommendation: ***Not Recommended, Continuing Consultation (10:2)***

Cape Foulweather Complex Marine Conservation Area: The presenter requested withdrawal of their recommendations R8 and R10, because they are not site-specific. Concerns regarding the shoreward boundary, funding for signage, equity of access to harvest, overlap with the marine reserves comparison area, and marine reserves perceptions, were clarified. The Working Group established consensus to not recommend the site, but could not establish consensus for continuing consultation and went to a vote, which resulted in 10 yes, 2 no votes. Cape Foulweather Complex MCA final recommendation: ***Not Recommended, Continuing Consultation (10:2)***

An overflow meeting was scheduled for the following day (Apr 30th) as a contingency to accommodate additional discussion time as necessary. This meeting was utilized to complete discussion on statewide recommendation ideas. The Working Group reviewed the range of issues identified in the rocky habitat proposals and attempted to reach consensus on the major themes to address, and recommendations that could be crafted around them for OPAC review.

Three major themes were identified in this discussion: 1) a lack of public education and awareness about rocky habitat resources and their threats, 2) a lack of capacity (among agencies as well as volunteer stewardship organizations) to implement the expectations of the Rocky Habitat Management Strategy, and 3) a persistent problem with disturbances to wildlife and habitats. The Working Group also consistently identified the need for better coordination and communication between agencies and the public, and more data-driven decisions in all areas.

Final recommendation results are summarized in the table below:

Final Rocky Habitat Working Group Proposal Recommendations	
Proposal Name	Result*
Coquille Point MG	Recommended (consensus)
Cape Blanco MRA	Recommended (consensus)
Ecola Point MCA	Not Recommended, Continuing Consultation (consensus)
Cape Lookout MCA	Not Recommended, Continuing Consultation (10:2)
Cape Foulweather MCA	Not Recommended, Continuing Consultation (10:2)
Chapman Point MCA	Not Recommended, Continuing Consultation (9:3)
Fogarty Creek MCA	Not Recommended, Continuing Consultation (9:3)
Blacklock Point MCA	Not Recommended, Continuing Consultation (8:4)
Crook Pt-Mack Reef MCA	Not recommended (consensus), No Continuing Consultation (4:8)
Otter Rock N MCA	Not recommended (consensus)
Seal Rock MCA	Not recommended (consensus)
Kelp Forests MRA	Not recommended (consensus)

*Vote tallies (yes:no) reported where consensus was not achieved