

ROCKY SHORES MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

DRAFT: COMMUNITY BASED PROPOSAL CRITERIA

The Rocky Shores Management Strategy encourages local community groups and the public at large to submit proposals for changes in rocky shore management. Changes may include new designations, modifications of existing designation, or deletions of designations. Everyone is eligible to submit a proposal, with proposals representing multiple stakeholder interests strongly encouraged.

Proposals are subject to a multi-agency review and a final review by the Ocean Policy Advisory Council. Due to the complex network of regulations and users, only rocky shoreline areas may be proposed for alteration, while coastal rocky reefs and islands are not eligible for alteration under a community proposal (See section __ for area definitions). Proposals will be collected by Oregon Coastal Management Program staff on a rolling basis and do not require an active OPAC amendment period to be submitted.

PROPOSAL CRITERIA

Proposal criteria have been made to better guide interested parties on compiling information regarding impacts of a proposed site recommendation, including ecological and socio-economic information, enforcement needs, alignment with strategy goals, arguments of opposition, conservation footprint, etc. Please indicate if any information is unavailable or unknown.

PRIMARY CONTACT INFORMATION & PROPOSAL BACKGROUND

- 1. Name of proposed site**
- 2. Name of principal contact**
- 3. Affiliation/organization (if applicable)**
- 4. Phone, email, and mailing address**
- 5. Please describe the context for why this proposal is being brought forward.**
 - a. What are the goals of this proposal?**
 - b. Why is this change in site management necessary?**
- 6. Additional Information (if applicable)**

GENERAL PROPOSED SITE INFORMATION

To the best of your knowledge, please provide the following information.

- 1. Current site name (if different from proposed name)**
- 2. General site description**
- 3. Site location and boundaries**

ROCKY SHORES MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

DRAFT: COMMUNITY BASED PROPOSAL CRITERIA

- a. Please use common place names, latitude/longitude, and geographic references to identify the site
 - b. All proposals must include a map of proposed site boundaries
- 4. Site access information**
 - a. How is this site commonly accessed?
- 5. Proposed management designation** (*exact designation names will be determined during Phase 2 of the update process*)
 - a. Must be a management/designation alteration or removal listed by the Rocky Shores Management Strategy.
- 6. Current site management and authorities**
 - a. How is this site currently managed.
 - b. Include current site ownership, management authorities, and other key players

SITE USES

To the best of your knowledge, please provide the following information based on the current site management.

- 1. Current site uses and users**
 - a. Please include the current users and uses present at the site.
 - b. Uses may encompass recreational, commercial, cultural, and scientific aspects. Include if a use is not currently present at a site.
- 2. Potential future uses and users**
 - a. Please include potential future users and uses of the proposed site.
 - b. Much like current uses, future uses may encompass recreational, commercial, cultural, and scientific aspects, as well as others not listed.
- 3. How will altering this sites management designation impact existing and potential future uses and users?**
 - a. Please outline the potential positive and negative impacts to current and future users as well as the degree of impact.
- 4. How is the proposed site compatible with the needs of coastal communities?**

KEY RESOURCES

- 1. Rocky habitat type present throughout the site.**
 - a. Please include as much information as possible on the specific types of rocky habitat present at the site (ex. Rocky intertidal with extensive tidepools, adjacent rocky cliffs, and rocky subtidal, etc.)

ROCKY SHORES MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

DRAFT: COMMUNITY BASED PROPOSAL CRITERIA

- b. If known, also include approximate area percentages.
- 2. Key resources are present at the site**
 - a. Describe current rocky shore resources present at the site in as much detail as possible. These may include, but are not limited to-
 - i. kelp beds;
 - ii. pinniped haul out or pupping areas;
 - iii. seabird colonies;
 - iv. presence of threatened/endangered/protected species;
 - v. Intertidal diversity and score/metric (invertebrates, marine plants, etc.)
- 3. List the animal and plant species you know exist at this site along with relative abundance.**
- 4. Does this site include any unique or special features in relation to the Oregon coast?**
 - a. This may include high quality examples of rocky shore habitats, etc.
 - b. Please discuss these site values and how a change in designation will impact them.

ENFORCEMENT

- 1. What methods of enforcement would be necessary to implement this change in management?**
 - a. What regulatory changes would be needed?
 - b. Which state and/or federal agencies would be impacted by this change in site management?
- 2. In comparison to current site management, what changes would be necessary to enforce the proposed management measures.**
 - a. This may include the addition or removal of infrastructure, personnel, etc.
- 3. How was enforcement/compliance of management considered in the design of this site proposal?**
 - a. If possible please estimate the cost to implement this change in site management.

COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

- 1. Describe the steps taken to develop this proposal in collaboration with coastal communities, users, and interested parties.**
 - a. Please describe the community support for this proposal?
 - b. Please list the people, organizations, and/or groups that have worked to develop and support this proposal.

ROCKY SHORES MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

DRAFT: COMMUNITY BASED PROPOSAL CRITERIA

- 2. List and explain any opposition or negative opinions received regarding this proposal.**
 - a. While preparing this proposal and performing community outreach, what were the main issues and concerns voiced regarding this proposed change in site management/designation?

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

- 1. How does this proposal incorporate local knowledge into site management?**
- 2. How does this proposal align with the goals and policies of the Rocky Shore Management Strategy?**
- 3. What existing or proposed infrastructure/development are located within and adjacent to the site?**
 - a. These may include submarine cables, residential developments, ocean outfalls, etc.
- 4. What land or watershed activities/conditions exist adjacent to this site?**
- 5. Are there any other overlapping protected areas within the site?**
- 6. Additional Information-**
 - a. Include other characteristics of the site or adjacent area you wish to describe.
 - b. Please describe any other reasons you think this site warrants a change in designation.
 - c. What other information would you like to include about this site or your proposal.